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#### Abstract

In this paper we present an implementation of Schoof's algorithm for computing the number of $F_{2^{m}}$-points of an elliptic curve that is defined over the finite field $F_{2} m$. We have implemented some heuristic improvements, and give running times for various problem instances.


## 1. Introduction

The use of elliptic curves in public key cryptography was first proposed by N. Koblitz [5] and V. Miller [10]. Since then, a significant amount of research has been done on the implementation of practical and secure cryptosystems based on elliptic curves. For a secure system, one should select a curve $E$ over a finite field $F_{q}$ such that the order, $\# E\left(F_{q}\right)$, of the group of points has a large prime divisor. There are some families of curves whose orders are trivial to compute (see [7] for some examples). However, if a random curve is chosen, then it is necessary to have an efficient algorithm for computing its order.

In 1985, Schoof [13] gave a polynomial-time algorithm for computing \#E( $\left.F_{q}\right)$. The algorithm has a running time of $O\left(\log ^{8} q\right)$ bit operations, and is rather cumbersome in practice. In [3] the authors combined Schoof's algorithm with Shanks' baby-step giant-step algorithm, and were able to compute orders of curves over $F_{p}$, where $p$ is a 27-decimal-digit prime. The algorithm took 4.5 hours on a SUN-1 SPARC station.

The work mentioned above was all described for the case $q$ odd. From a practical point of view, however, curves over fields of characteristic 2 are more attractive, since the arithmetic in $F_{2^{m}}$ is easier to implement in hardware than the arithmetic in $F_{q}, q$ odd. In [6] Koblitz adapted Schoof's algorithm to curves over $F_{2^{m}}$ and studied the implementation and security of a randomcurve cryptosystem. Special emphasis was placed on the underlying field $F_{2^{135}}$. Recently, Agnew, Mullin, and Vanstone [1] have developed a VLSI device to perform arithmetic in $F_{2155}$ and to perform computations on a random elliptic curve over this field. Consequently, it is of interest to determine the order of random curves over $F_{2^{15 s}}$.

We have implemented Schoof's algorithm for counting the points on an arbitrary curve over $F_{2^{m}}$, and have employed some heuristics to improve the actual running time. We are able to compute $\# E\left(F_{2^{m}}\right)$ for $m=155$ (and so $\left.\# E\left(F_{2^{m}}\right) \approx 10^{47}\right)$ in about 61 hours on a SUN-2 SPARC station.
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The remainder of the paper is organized as follows. In §2, we mention the relevant properties of elliptic curves over finite fields of characteristic 2 . In $\S 3$, we outline Schoof's algorithm, and in $\S 4$ we present our heuristics for improving Schoof's algorithm. Section 5 discusses details of our implementation, and gives some running times for various problem instances. Finally, in $\S 6$, we survey the latest research on the problem of counting points on an elliptic curve.

## 2. Elliptic curves in characteristic 2

Let $q=2^{m}$, and let $K=F_{q}$ be the finite field of $q$ elements. We denote the algebraic closure of $K$ by $\bar{K}$. If $S$ is a field or an additive group, then $S^{*}$ will denote the nonzero elements of $S$. There are two types of elliptic curves over $K$. A supersingular curve $E$ over $K$ is the set of solutions $(x, y) \in \bar{K} \times \bar{K}$ to an equation of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{2}+a_{3} y=x^{3}+a_{4} x+a_{6} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $a_{3}, a_{4}, a_{6} \in K, a_{3} \neq 0$, together with the "point at infinity" denoted $\mathcal{O}$. A nonsupersingular curve $E$ over $K$ is the set of solutions $(x, y) \in \bar{K} \times \bar{K}$ to an equation of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{2}+x y=x^{3}+a_{2} x^{2}+a_{6} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $a_{2}, a_{6} \in K, a_{6} \neq 0$, together with the point $\mathscr{O}$.
If $L$ is any field with $K \subseteq L \subseteq \bar{K}$, then let $E(L)$ denote the set of points in $E$ both of whose coordinates lie in $L$, together with the point $\mathscr{O}$.

There are precisely three isomorphism classes of supersingular elliptic curves over $K$ if $m$ is odd, and seven classes if $m$ is even. The number of points on a curve in each class is known [9]. Given a supersingular curve (1), we can then compute $\# E(K)$ by determining the isomorphism class that $E$ belongs to. For the remainder of the paper we will thus be interested in computing $\# E(K)$, where $E$ is a nonsupersingular elliptic curve.

There are $2 q-2$ isomorphism classes of nonsupersingular curves over $K$. A set of representatives of these classes is

$$
\left\{y^{2}+x y=x^{3}+a_{2} x^{2}+a_{6} \mid a_{6} \in K^{*}, a_{2} \in\{0, \gamma\}\right\}
$$

where $\gamma \in K$ is a fixed element of trace 1 . If $E$ and $\widetilde{E}$ are the curves $y^{2}+x y=$ $x^{3}+a_{6}$ and $y^{2}+x y=x^{3}+\gamma x^{2}+a_{6}$, respectively, then it is easily verified that $\# E(K)+\# \widetilde{E}(K)=2 q+2$. Henceforth we will always assume that the equation for $E$ is of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{2}+x y=x^{3}+a_{6}, \quad a_{6} \in K^{*} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is well known that $E$ has the structure of an abelian group, with the point O serving as its identity element. The rules for adding points on the curve (3) are the following. Let $P=\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right) \in E^{*}$; then $-P=\left(x_{1}, y_{1}+x_{1}\right)$. Notice that $P$ and $-P$ have the same $x$-coordinates. If $Q=\left(x_{2}, y_{2}\right) \in E^{*}$ and $Q \neq-P$, then $P+Q=\left(x_{3}, y_{3}\right)$, where

$$
x_{3}= \begin{cases}\left(\frac{y_{1}+y_{2}}{x_{1}+x_{2}}\right)^{2}+\frac{y_{1}+y_{2}}{x_{1}+x_{2}}+x_{1}+x_{2}, & P \neq Q \\ \frac{a_{6}}{x_{1}^{2}}+x_{1}^{2}, & P=Q\end{cases}
$$

and

$$
y_{3}= \begin{cases}\left(\frac{y_{1}+y_{2}}{x_{1}+x_{2}}\right)\left(x_{1}+x_{3}\right)+x_{3}+y_{1}, & P \neq Q \\ x_{1}^{2}+\left(x_{1}+\frac{y_{1}}{x_{1}}\right) x_{3}+x_{3}, & P=Q\end{cases}
$$

If $K \subseteq L \subseteq \bar{K}$, then $E(L)$ is a subgroup of $E$. If $L$ is finite with $\# L=q^{r}$, then Hasse's theorem states that

$$
\# E(L)=q^{r}+1-t
$$

where $|t| \leq 2 \sqrt{q^{r}}$. Thus, to compute $\# E(L)$, it suffices to compute $t$.
Let $n$ be a positive integer, and let $\mathbb{Z}_{n}$ denote the cyclic group of $n$ elements. The group $E(K)$ has rank either 1 or 2 ; we can write $E(K) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{n_{1}} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{n_{2}}$, where $n_{2} \mid n_{1}$ and $n_{2} \mid q-1$. A point $P \in E$ is called an $n$-torsion point if $n P=\mathscr{O}$. Let $E[n]$ denote the group of $n$-torsion points in $E$. If $\operatorname{gcd}(n, q)=1$, then $E[n] \cong \mathbb{Z}_{n} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{n}$. If $n=2^{e}$, then either $E[n] \cong\{\mathscr{O}\}$ if $E$ is supersingular, or else $E[n] \cong \mathbb{Z}_{n}$ if $E$ is nonsupersingular.

We introduce the division polynomials $f_{n} \in K[x]$ associated with the nonsupersingular curve $E$ given by the equation (2) (see [6]):

$$
\begin{gather*}
f_{0}=0, \quad f_{1}=1, \quad f_{2}=x, \quad f_{3}=x^{4}+x^{3}+a_{6}, \quad f_{4}=x^{6}+a_{6} x^{2} \\
f_{2 n+1}=f_{n}^{3} f_{n+2}+f_{n-1} f_{n+1}^{3}, \quad n \geq 2  \tag{4}\\
x f_{2 n}=f_{n-1}^{2} f_{n} f_{n+2}+f_{n-2} f_{n} f_{n+1}^{2}, \quad n \geq 3 . \tag{5}
\end{gather*}
$$

The polynomials $f_{n}$ are monic in $x$, and if $n$ is odd, then the degree of $f_{n}$ is $\left(n^{2}-1\right) / 2$. The division polynomials have the following useful properties which will enable us to perform computations in $E[n]$. Theorem 1 is from [8], while Theorem 2 is from [6].
Theorem 1. Let $P=(x, y) \in E^{*}$ and let $n \geq 0$. Then $P \in E[n]$ if and only if $f_{n}(x)=0$.
Theorem 2. Let $n \geq 2$, and let $P=(x, y) \in E^{*}$ with $n P \neq \mathscr{O}$. Then

$$
n P=\left(x+\frac{f_{n-1} f_{n+1}}{f_{n}^{2}}, x+y+\frac{f_{n-1} f_{n+1}}{f_{n}^{2}}+\frac{f_{n-2} f_{n+1}^{2}}{x f_{n}^{3}}+\left(x^{2}+y\right) \frac{f_{n-1} f_{n+1}}{x f_{n}^{2}}\right)
$$

The ring of endomorphisms of $E$ that are defined over $K$ is denoted by $\operatorname{End}_{K} E$. The map $\phi \in \operatorname{End}_{K} E$ sending $(x, y)$ to $\left(x^{q}, y^{q}\right)$ and fixing $\mathscr{O}$ is called the Frobenius endomorphism of $E$. In $\operatorname{End}_{K} E, \phi$ satisfies the relation

$$
\phi^{2}-t \phi+q=0
$$

for a unique $t \in \mathbb{Z}$. In fact, $t=q+1-\# E(K)$. If $l$ is an odd prime, then $E[l]$ can be viewed as a vector space over $F_{l}$; the vector space has dimension 2. The map $\phi$ restricted to $E[l]$ is a linear transformation on $E[l]$ with characteristic equation $\phi^{2}-t \phi+q=0$.

## 3. Outline of Schoof's algorithm

We give an outline of Schoof's algorithm for computing $\# E(K)$, where $K=$ $F_{q}, q=2^{m}$, and $E$ is given by equation (3). The method in [13] is described
for fields of odd characteristic. More details for the case $q$ even will be given in $\S 4$.

Let $\# E\left(F_{q}\right)=q+1-t$. Choose a prime $L^{\prime}$ such that $\Pi l>4 \sqrt{q}$, where the product ranges over all primes $l$ from 3 to $L^{\prime}$. We proceed to compute $t$ $(\bmod l)$ for each odd prime $l \leq L^{\prime} ;$ since $|t| \leq 2 \sqrt{q}$, we can then recover $t$ by the Chinese Remainder Theorem.

Let $P=(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \in E[l]^{*}$, and let $k \equiv q(\bmod l), 0 \leq k \leq l-1$. We search for an integer $\tau, 0 \leq \tau \leq l-1$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi^{2}(P)+k P=\tau \phi(P) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\phi^{2}(P)+k P=t \phi(P)$, we deduce that $(t-\tau) \phi(P)=\mathscr{O}$, and hence $t \equiv \tau$ $(\bmod l)$. The problem with implementing this idea is that the coordinates of $P$, which are in $\bar{K}$, may not lie in any small extension of $K$, and thus cannot be efficiently found in general. We overcome this problem by observing that $\bar{x}$ is a root of the division polynomial $f_{l}(x) \in K[x]$. Moreover, we can use Theorem 2 to obtain an expression for $k P$ and $\tau \phi(P)$, where the coordinates of the expressions are rational functions in $x$ and $y$. We may then use the addition rules to sum $\phi^{2}(P)$ and $k P$.

To test whether there exists some $P=(x, y) \in E[l]^{*}$ satisfying (6), we equate the $x$-coordinates of the expressions for $\phi^{2}(P)+k P$ and $\tau \phi(P)$, and eliminate denominators and the variable $y$ to obtain an equation $h_{1}(x)=0$. We then compute $H_{1}(x)=\operatorname{gcd}\left(h_{1}(x), f_{l}(x)\right)$. If $H_{1}(x)=1$, then there is no $P \in E[l]^{*}$ satisfying (6). If $H_{1}(x) \neq 1$, then there exists $P \in E[l]^{*}$ with $\phi^{2}(P)+k P= \pm \tau \phi(P)$. To determine the sign, we equate the $y$-coordinates of the expressions for $\phi^{2}(P)+k P$ and $\tau \phi(P)$, eliminate denominators and the variable $y$ to obtain an equation $h_{2}(x)=0$, and then compute $H_{2}(x)=$ $\operatorname{gcd}\left(h_{2}(x), f_{l}(x)\right)$. If $H_{2}(x) \neq 1$, then $P$ satisfies (6), otherwise $P$ satisfies $\phi^{2}(P)+k P=-\tau \phi(P)$. Note that all computations now take place in the ring $K[x]$.

The running time of $O\left(\log ^{8} q\right)$ bit operations is obtained as follows. We have that $L^{\prime}=O(\log q)$. For each $l$, the search for $\tau$ satisfying (6) is dominated by the computations of the residues of $x^{q^{2}}$ and $y^{q^{2}}$ modulo $f_{l}(x)$ (note that $\left.\phi^{2}(P)=\left(x^{q^{2}}, y^{q^{2}}\right)\right)$. Since the degree of $f_{l}(x)$ is $O\left(\log ^{2} q\right)$, these residues can be computed in $O\left(\log ^{5} q\right)$ field operations, or $O\left(\log ^{7} q\right)$ bit operations. If fast multiplication techniques are used for multiplication in $K[x]$ and in $F_{q}$, then the total running time reduces to $O\left(\log ^{5+\varepsilon} q\right)$, for any $\varepsilon>0$. However, since the fast multiplication techniques are only practical for very large $q$, we will henceforth only use classical multiplication algorithms.

## 4. Some heuristics

Again, we assume that $K=F_{q}$, where $q=2^{m}$, and that the curve $E$ has equation (3). Let $\# E\left(F_{q}\right)=q+1-t$, where $|t| \leq 2 \sqrt{q}$. From the expression for the division polynomial $f_{4}$ we can deduce that $\# E\left(F_{q}\right) \equiv 0(\bmod 4)$, so we can easily determine $t(\bmod 4)$.

In $\S \S 4.1$ and 4.2 we describe how to find $t(\bmod l)$, where $l$ is an odd prime.
4.1. Finding an eigenvalue of $\phi$, if one exists. Recall from $\S 2$ that when viewing $\phi$ as a linear transformation on $E[l]$, the characteristic equation of $\phi$
is $\phi^{2}-t \phi+q=0$. Thus, $\phi$ has eigenvalues in $F_{l}$ if and only if either $t^{2}-4 q$ is a quadratic residue $\bmod l$, or $t^{2}-4 q$ is $0 \bmod l$. Assume that $s, r$ are eigenvalues of $\phi$ in $F_{l}$. The following two observations are useful.

- Since $s^{2}-t s+q=0$, we have $t \equiv s+q / s(\bmod l)$.
- If $s \neq r$, then let $S$ denote the set of $x$-coordinates of nonzero points in the one-dimensional eigenspace corresponding to $s$. Observe that if $\alpha \in S$, then $\alpha^{q} \in S$; it follows that $f(x)=\prod_{\alpha \in S}(x-\alpha)$ is a degree $(l-1) / 2$ factor of $f_{l}(x)$ in $K[x]$.

Let $w$ be an integer, $1 \leq w \leq(l-1) / 2$. To test whether $\pm w$ is an eigenvalue of $\phi$, we have to check if there exists $P=(x, y) \in E[l]^{*}$ with $\phi(P)= \pm w P$. Explicitly, we equate the $x$-coordinates of $\phi(P)$ and $\pm w P$ to obtain

$$
x^{q}=x+\frac{f_{w-1} f_{w+1}}{f_{w}^{2}}
$$

Thus, the search is successful if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{1}(x)=\operatorname{gcd}\left(\left(x^{q}+x\right) f_{w}^{2}+f_{w-1} f_{w+1}, f_{l}\right) \neq 1 \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The dominant step in these calculations is the computation of $x^{q}$ modulo $f_{l}(x)$.

If $g_{1}(x) \neq 1$, then we need to test if $\phi(P)=w P$ or $\phi(P)=-w P$. The roots of $g_{1}(x)$ are the $x$-coordinates of points $P \in E[l]^{*}$ satisfying $\phi(P)= \pm w P$. If the eigenvalues of $\phi$ are $w$ and $-w$, then $t \equiv 0(\bmod l)$, and this will be detected since the degree of $g_{1}(x)$ will be $l-1$. If the eigenvalues of $\phi$ are the same, then $g_{1}(x)=f_{l}(x)$ or the degree of $f_{l}(x)$ is $(l-1) / 2$. Otherwise, if either $w$ or $-w$ (but not both) is one of the two eigenvalues of $\phi$ in $F_{l}$, then the degree of $g_{1}(x)$ is $(l-1) / 2$. In the following computations, all polynomials in $x$ are reduced modulo $g_{1}(x)$. Equating $y$-coordinates of $\phi(P)$ and $-w P$, and clearing denominators, we obtain the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(x, y)=x f_{w}^{3}\left(y+y^{q}\right)+f_{w-2} f_{w+1}^{2}+\left(x^{2}+y\right) f_{w-1} f_{w} f_{w+1}=0 \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $y^{2}=x^{3}+a_{6}+x y$, we can compute $y^{q}$ by repeatedly squaring $y^{2}$. After $m-1$ squarings, we obtain

$$
y^{q}=a(x)+b(x) y
$$

with $a(x)$ and $b(x)$ both reduced modulo $g_{1}(x)$. Equation (8) then reduces to

$$
\bar{a}(x)+\bar{b}(x) y=0
$$

Substituting $y=\bar{a}(x) / \bar{b}(x)$ into the equation of the curve (3) yields the following equation of the curve:

$$
\bar{h}(x)=\bar{a}(x)^{2}+\bar{a}(x) \bar{b}(x) x+\left(x^{3}+a_{6}\right) \bar{b}(x)^{2}=0
$$

Finally, if $\operatorname{gcd}\left(\bar{h}(x), g_{1}(x)\right)=1$, then $t \equiv w+q / w(\bmod l)$, otherwise $t \equiv$ $-w-q / w(\bmod l)$.

We comment that this method of searching for eigenvalues of $\phi$ easily extends to the case $q$ an odd prime power.
4.2. Schoof's algorithm. If there is no eigenvalue of $\phi$ in $F_{l}$, i.e., if $t^{2}-4 q$ is a quadratic nonresidue $\bmod l$, then we apply Schoof's test to determine the $\tau$ satisfying (6).

We first check if there is a $P=(x, y) \in E[l]^{*}$ with $\phi^{2}(P)= \pm k P$, where $k$ is $q$ modulo $l$. This is the case if and only if

$$
\operatorname{gcd}\left(\left(x^{q^{2}}+x\right) f_{k}^{2}+f_{k-1} f_{k+1}, f_{l}\right) \neq 1
$$

Observe that if $t \equiv 0(\bmod l)$, then $\phi^{2}(P)=-k P$. Now, if $\phi^{2}(P)=k P$, then $\phi(P)=(2 k / t) P$, whence $\phi$ has an eigenvalue in $F_{l}$. But $t^{2}-4 q$ is a quadratic nonresidue $\bmod l$, so we conclude that $\phi^{2}(P)=-k P$. Thus, $t \phi(P)=\mathscr{O}$ and $t \equiv 0(\bmod l)$.

Assume now that there is no $P \in E[l]^{*}$ with $\phi^{2}(P)= \pm k P$. In order to determine $t(\bmod l)$, we check for each $\tau, 1 \leq \tau \leq l-1$, if there exists $P \in E[l]^{*}$ satisfying (6). Since $\phi^{2}(P) \neq \pm k P$, we can use the rule for adding distinct points to compute an expression for $\phi^{2}(P)+k P$. Explicitly, let $(P)_{x}$ denote the $x$-coordinate of point $P$. Then for $k \geq 2$

$$
\begin{equation*}
( \pm \tau \phi(P))_{x}=x^{q}+\frac{f_{\tau-1}^{q} f_{\tau+1}^{q}}{f_{\tau}^{2 q}} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\left(\phi^{2}(P)+k P\right)_{x}=x^{q^{2}}+x+\frac{f_{k-1} f_{k+1}}{f_{k}^{2}}+\lambda^{2}+\lambda
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda=\frac{\left(y^{q^{2}}+y+x\right) x f_{k}^{3}+f_{k-2} f_{k+1}^{2}+\left(x^{2}+x+y\right)\left(f_{k-1} f_{k} f_{k+1}\right)}{x f_{k}^{3}\left(x+x^{q^{2}}\right)+x f_{k-1} f_{k} f_{k+1}} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similar equations can be obtained for the case $k=1$. Equate the $x$-coordinates of $\phi^{2}(P)+k P$ and $\pm \tau \phi(P)$, and eliminate denominators and the variable $y$, to get an identity $h_{3}(x)=0$. Then there exists a $P \in E[l]^{*}$ with $\phi^{2}(P)+k P=$ $\pm \tau \phi(P)$ if and only if $h_{4}(x)=\operatorname{gcd}\left(h_{3}(x), f_{l}(x)\right) \neq 1$. This is repeated for each $\tau, 1 \leq \tau \leq(l-1) / 2$, for which $\tau^{2}-4 q$ is a nonresidue $(\bmod l)$. If the gcd is nontrivial, then we can determine the correct sign by first equating the $y$-coordinates of $\phi^{2}(P)+k P$ and $\tau \phi(P)$. Explicitly, for $\tau \geq 2$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\tau \phi(P))_{y}=x^{q}+y^{q}+\frac{f_{\tau-1}^{q} f_{\tau+1}^{q}}{f_{\tau}^{2 q}}+\frac{f_{\tau-2}^{q} f_{\tau+1}^{2 q}}{x^{q} f_{\tau}^{3 q}}+\left(x^{2 q}+y^{q}\right) \frac{f_{\tau-1}^{q} f_{\tau+1}^{q}}{x^{q} f_{\tau}^{2 q}} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\left(\phi^{2}(P)+k P\right)_{y}=\lambda\left(x^{q^{2}}+x_{3}\right)+x_{3}+y^{q^{2}}
$$

where $x_{3}=\left(\phi^{2}(P)+k P\right)_{x}$ and $\lambda$ is as in (10) (similar equations can be obtained for the case $\tau=1$ ). As was done above, we then proceed to eliminate the denominator and the variable $y$ to get an identity $h_{5}(x)=0$. Then, if $\operatorname{gcd}\left(f_{l}(x), h_{5}(x)\right) \neq 1$, we have $t=\tau$; otherwise $t=-\tau$. The dominant step in these calculations is the computation of $x^{q^{2}}$ and $y^{q^{2}}$ modulo $f_{l}(x)$.

To determine $t(\bmod l)$ in practice, one would first search for an eigenvalue of $\phi$ in $F_{l}$, and if this fails, then Schoof's algorithm is applied. The first method is faster since it only requires the residue of $x^{q}$ modulo $f_{l}(x)$, while the second method requires the residues $x^{q}, x^{q^{2}}, y^{q}$, and $y^{q^{2}}$ modulo $f_{l}(x)$. Heuristically, for a random curve, we would expect $\phi$ to have an eigenvalue in $F_{l}$ (i.e., $t^{2}-4 q$ is a quadratic residue in $F_{l}$ ) for half of all $l$ 's. Moreover, if $\phi$ does have eigenvlaues in $F_{l}$, then in most cases the eigenvalues will be distinct, and so the test if $\phi(P)=w P$ or $\phi(P)=-w P$ in (4.1) takes negligible time (since $\operatorname{deg} g_{1}(x)=(l-1) / 2$ or $\left.l-1\right)$.
4.3. Determining $t$ modulo $l=2^{c}$. If $l=2^{c}$, then the following lemma proves that $f_{l}(x)$ has a factor of small degree.
Lemma 3. If $l=2^{c}$, then $f_{l}(x)$ has a factor $f(x)$ of degree $l / 4$ in $K[x]$.
Proof. Since $E[l] \cong \mathbb{Z}_{l}, f_{l}(x)$ has only $l / 2$ distinct roots. Of these, only $l / 4$ are $x$-coordinates of points of order $l$. Thus, $f_{l}(x)$ has a factor $f(x)$ of degree $l / 4$ in $F_{q}[x]$, whose roots are precisely the $x$-coordinates of points of order $l$.

The next lemma shows how the factor $f(x)$ may be easily constructed.
Lemma 4. Let $l=2^{c}$. Define the sequence of polynomials $\left\{g_{i}(x)\right\}$ in $K[x]$ as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& g_{0}=x \\
& g_{1}=b_{1}+x, \quad \text { where } a_{6}=b_{1}^{4} \\
& g_{i}=g_{i-1}^{2}+b_{i} x \prod_{j=1}^{i-2} g_{j}^{2}, \quad \text { where } a_{6}=b_{i}^{2^{i+1}}, \text { for } i \geq 2
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $f(x)=g_{c-1}(x)$ is a degree $l / 4$ factor of $f_{l}(x)$ in $K[x]$. Moreover, the roots of $f(x)$ are precisely the $x$-coordinates of points of order $l$.
Proof. Define the sequence of polynomials $\left\{h_{i}(x)\right\}$ in $K[x]$ by

$$
h_{0}=1, \quad h_{1}=x, \quad h_{i}=x \prod_{j=1}^{i} g_{j}^{2} \quad \text { for } i \geq 2
$$

Let $P=(x, y) \in E^{*}$, and let $\left(2^{n} P\right)_{x}=G_{n} / H_{n}$ for $n \geq 0$. From the formula for doubling a point, we see that $G_{n}$ and $H_{n}$ are polynomials in $K[x]$. We prove by induction that $G_{n}=\left(g_{n}\right)^{2^{n+1}}$ and $H_{n}=\left(h_{n}\right)^{2^{n}}$ for $n \geq 1$.

For $n=1$, we have

$$
\frac{G_{1}}{H_{1}}=\frac{g_{1}^{4}}{h_{1}^{2}}=\frac{\left(b_{1}+x\right)^{4}}{x^{2}}=\frac{a_{6}}{x^{2}}+x^{2}
$$

which indeed is $(2 P)_{x}$. Assuming that the statement is true for $n=i$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(2^{i+1} P\right)_{x} & =\frac{G_{i+1}}{H_{i+1}}=\left(2^{i} P+2^{i} P\right)_{x}=\frac{a_{6} H_{i}^{2}}{G_{i}^{2}}+\frac{G_{i}^{2}}{H_{i}^{2}} \\
& =\frac{\left(b_{1} H_{i}+G_{i}\right)^{4}}{\left(G_{i} H_{i}\right)^{2}}=\frac{\left(b_{i+1} h_{i}+g_{i}^{2} i^{2^{i+2}}\right.}{\left(g_{i}^{2} h_{i}\right)^{2^{i+1}}}=\frac{\left(g_{i+1}\right)^{2^{i+2}}}{\left(h_{i+1}\right)^{2 i+1}}
\end{aligned}
$$

It is also easily proved by induction that $\operatorname{deg} g_{n}=2^{n-1}$ for $n \geq 1$, and $\operatorname{gcd}\left(g_{n}, h_{n}\right)=1$ for $n \geq 0$.

Now, let $P=(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \in E^{*}$. Since $\left(2^{c-1} P\right)_{x}=\left(g_{c-1}\right)^{2^{c}} /\left(h_{c-1}\right)^{2^{c-1}}$, we have $\operatorname{ord}(P)=2^{c}$ if and only if $g_{c-1}(\bar{x})=0$ and $g_{i}(\bar{x}) \neq 0$ for $0 \leq i \leq c-2$. But, since $h_{c-1}=g_{0} \prod_{j=1}^{c-2} g_{j}^{2}$ and $\operatorname{gcd}\left(g_{c-1}, h_{c-1}\right)=1$, we have ord $(P)=2^{c}$ if and only if $g_{c-1}(\bar{x})=0$. Finally, since $\operatorname{deg} g_{c-1}=l / 4$, the desired factor $f(x)$ must in fact be $g_{c-1}(x)$.

For $l=2^{c}$ that divides $q$, we have $q \equiv 0(\bmod l)$. Hence, for $P \in E[l]^{*}$, we know that $\phi^{2}(P)-\tau \phi(P)=\mathscr{O}$. Since $\phi$ is the Frobenius endomorphism,
$\phi(P) \neq \mathscr{O}$ for $P \neq \mathscr{O}$. Therefore, $\phi(P)-\tau P=\mathscr{O}$ and $\tau$ is an eigenvalue of $\phi$ in $\mathbb{Z}_{l}$.

Since we know that $\# E\left(F_{q}\right) \equiv 0(\bmod 4)$, we have that $t \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$ and $\tau \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$. This gives us only two choices for $\tau$ modulo 8 . We can easily obtain this eigenvalue using a factor of $f_{8}(x)$ obtained as above, and using our heuristic for finding eigenvalues. This procedure can then similarly be applied to finding eigenvalues for $l=16,32,64, \ldots$. The method is efficient for $l$ being a small power of 2 , since the polynomial arithmetic is performed modulo a degree $l / 4$ factor of $f_{l}(x)$.
4.4. Baby-step giant-step algorithm. The calculation of $t$ modulo $l$ using Schoof's algorithm for small primes $l$ is very simple. However, since $\operatorname{deg}\left(f_{l}(x)\right)$ $=\left(l^{2}-1\right) / 2$, the calculation quickly becomes infeasible as the value of $l$ increases. In [3], the authors combined Schoof's algorithm with Shanks' babystep giant-step method. In this method, one first computes $\# E\left(F_{q}\right)$ modulo $L=l_{0} \cdot l_{1} \cdots l_{r}$, where $l_{1}, \ldots, l_{r}$ are small primes and $l_{0}$ is a small power of 2. We then use the baby-step giant-step algorithm to determine $\# E\left(F_{q}\right)$.

We describe Shanks' algorithm with suitable modifications for use with Schoof's algorithm.
Step 1. Choose a random point $P$ in $E\left(F_{q}\right)$ and set

$$
k=\min \left\{k^{\prime} \mid k^{\prime} \geq\lceil\sqrt{L \cdot 4 \cdot \sqrt{q}}\rceil, \quad k^{\prime} \equiv 0 \quad(\bmod L)\right\}
$$

Step 2. Compute $i P$ for $i \equiv\left(\lfloor q+1-2 \sqrt{q}\rfloor-\# E\left(F_{q}\right)\right)(\bmod L)$ for $0 \leq i \leq$ $k-1$. If for some $i$ we have $i P=\mathscr{O}$, then return to Step 1 . Otherwise, store $i$ and the first 32 bits of the $x$-coordinate of $i P$ in a table sorted by the entry $i P$.
Step 3. Set $Q=k P$.
Step 4. Compute

$$
H_{j}=\lfloor q+1-2 \sqrt{q}\rfloor P+j Q
$$

for $j=1,2, \ldots, k / L$ and check (by a binary search) whether the first 32 bits of the $x$-coordinate of $H_{j}$ correspond to the first 32 bits of the $x$-coordinate of $i P$ for some $i$. If it does, we then check if $H_{j}=i P$ (by recalculating $i P$ ). If we have only one pair $(i, j)$ with $H_{j}=i P$, then

$$
\# E\left(F_{q}\right)=\lfloor q+1-2 \sqrt{q}\rfloor+k j-i
$$

and the algorithm terminates. If not, then return to Step 1.
We sketch the correctness and running time of the algorithm.
Since $P \in E\left(F_{q}\right)$, then $\operatorname{ord}(P)$ divides $\# E\left(F_{q}\right)$. Thus, if there exists a unique integer $r \in[q+1-2 \sqrt{q}, q+1+2 \sqrt{q}]$ such that $r P=\mathcal{O}$, then $r=\# E\left(F_{q}\right)$; if not, then $\operatorname{ord}(P) \leq 4 \sqrt{q}$. Either case is detected in Step 4. Thus in Step 1 we hope that $\operatorname{ord}(P)>4 \sqrt{q}$.

Recall that $E\left(F_{q}\right) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{n_{1}} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{n_{2}}$, where $n_{1} \mid n_{2}$ and $n_{2} \mid(q-1)$. For a random elliptic curve, we would expect $n_{1} \gg n_{2}$ and so $n_{1} \gg 4 \sqrt{q}$. Thus, with very high probability, $\operatorname{ord}(P)>4 \sqrt{q}$. Since $\# E\left(F_{q}\right) \geq(\sqrt{q}-1)^{2}$, we have $n_{1} \geq \sqrt{q}-1$. Moreover, since $4 \mid \# E\left(F_{q}\right)$ and $n_{2}$ is odd, we have $n_{1} \geq 2(\sqrt{q}-1)$. If in fact $n_{1} \leq 4 \sqrt{q}$, then there is no point in $E\left(F_{q}\right)$ of order greater than $4 \sqrt{q}$. This will be detected since the algorithm will fail in Step 4
each time. If this happens, then we determine ord $(P)$ and repeat the algorithm until $\operatorname{ord}(P) \geq 2(\sqrt{q}-1)$. We then search for a point $P^{\prime}$ which has order $\geq 3$ in the quotient group $E\left(F_{q}\right) /\langle P\rangle$. For more details, consult [3].

The table in Step 2 has about $S=2 q^{1 / 4} / \sqrt{L}$ entries, which are computed with $O(S)$ field operations. The table is then sorted using $O(S \log S)$ comparisons. Computing $H_{j}$ for $j=1,2, \ldots, k / L$ takes $O(S)$ field operations, while each binary search takes $O(\log S)$ comparisons. Thus the whole algorithm takes $O\left(q^{1 / 4}(\log q)^{2} / \sqrt{L}\right)$ bit operations, and requires $O\left(q^{1 / 4}(\log q) / \sqrt{L}\right)$ bits of storage.
4.5. Checking results. Let $\# E\left(F_{q}\right)=q+1-t$, where $t$ is unknown, and suppose that our algorithm outputs $\# E\left(F_{q}\right)=q+1-t^{\prime}$. We may verify that $t=t^{\prime}$ as follows.

Let $P$ be the point in the baby-step giant-step algorithm. Since the algorithm terminated, we believe that $\operatorname{ord}(P)>4 \sqrt{q}$. We first verify that $\left(q+1-t^{\prime}\right) P=$ $\mathscr{O}$; if this does not hold, then $t \neq t^{\prime}$. We then proceed to factor $q+1-t^{\prime}$, which is an easy task since $q+1-t^{\prime} \leq 10^{50}$ for the $q$ 's we are concerned with. Given the prime factorization of $q+1-t^{\prime}$, we can easily determine $\operatorname{ord}(P)$, and we then check that $\operatorname{ord}(P)>4 \sqrt{q}$. Now, since $(q+1-t) P=\mathscr{O}$ and $\left(q+1-t^{\prime}\right) P=\mathscr{O}$, we deduce that $\left(t-t^{\prime}\right) P=\mathscr{O}$. Finally, since $\operatorname{ord}(P)>4 \sqrt{q}$ and $\left|t-t^{\prime}\right| \leq 4 \sqrt{q}$, we conclude that $t=t^{\prime}$.

Of course, this check is only successful if $n_{1}>4 \sqrt{q}$, which, as pointed out in $\S 4.4$, is true for most curves.

## 5. Implementation and results

The algorithm described in $\S 4$ was implemented in the $C$ programming language on a SUN-2 SPARC station with 64 Mbytes of main memory. We make some comments on our implementation.
(i) The elements of $F_{q}=F_{2^{m}}$ were represented with respect to a normal basis. This has the advantage that squaring a field element involves only a cyclic shift of the vector representation. Explicitly, if $\beta$ is a normal basis generator and $\alpha=\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \lambda_{i} \beta^{2^{i}}$, where $\lambda_{i} \in F_{2}$, then $\alpha^{2}=\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \lambda_{i-1} \beta^{2^{i}}$ (with subscripts reduced modulo $m$ ). For computational efficiency in multiplying field elements, we use the special class of normal bases known as optimal normal bases [11]; these bases only exist for certain values of $m$ but are perhaps the most important for practical purposes.
(ii) Let $n=\operatorname{deg} f_{l}(x)$. To compute $\operatorname{gcd}\left(A(x), f_{l}(x)\right)$ for some $A(x) \in K[x]$, we first reduce $A(x)$ modulo $f_{l}(x)$, and then compute the gcd of the resulting polynomial with $f_{l}(x)$. In order to compute $x^{q}\left(\bmod f_{l}(x)\right)$, which is needed, for example, in (7), we precompute the residues $x^{2 j}$ modulo $f_{l}(x)$, for $0 \leq j \leq$ $n-1$. Then $x^{q}\left(\bmod f_{l}(x)\right)$ is obtained by repeatedly squaring $x$. Explicitly,

$$
\begin{aligned}
x^{2^{l}}\left(\bmod f_{l}(x)\right) & =\left(x^{2^{l-1}}\left(\bmod f_{l}(x)\right)\right)^{2}\left(\bmod f_{l}(x)\right) \\
& =\left(\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} a_{j} x^{j}\right)^{2}\left(\bmod f_{l}(x)\right)=\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} a_{j}^{2}\left(x^{2 j}\left(\bmod f_{l}(x)\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The residues of $x^{q^{2}}, y^{q}$, and $y^{q^{2}}$ modulo $f_{l}(x)$ are obtained in a similar manner.
(iii) In calculating (9) and (11), we need to compute $f_{\tau}^{q}\left(\bmod f_{l}(x)\right)$, for $0 \leq \tau \leq(l-1) / 2+1$. Since we already know $x^{q}\left(\bmod f_{l}(x)\right)$, we can easily compute $f_{\tau}^{q}\left(\bmod f_{l}(x)\right)$ recursively:

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{0}^{q} & =0\left(\bmod f_{l}(x)\right), \\
f_{1}^{q} & =1\left(\bmod f_{l}(x)\right), \\
f_{2}^{q} & =x^{q}\left(\bmod f_{l}(x)\right), \\
f_{3}^{q} & =x^{4 q}+x^{3 q}+a_{6}\left(\bmod f_{l}(x)\right), \\
f_{4}^{q} & =x^{6 q}+a_{6} x^{2 q}\left(\bmod f_{l}(x)\right), \\
f_{2 i+1}^{q} & =f_{i}^{3 q} f_{i+2}^{q}+f_{i-1}^{q} f_{i+1}^{3 q}\left(\bmod f_{l}(x)\right), \quad i \geq 2, \\
f_{2 i}^{q} & =s(x)\left(f_{i-1}^{2 q} f_{i}^{q} f_{i+2}^{q}+f_{i-2}^{q} f_{i}^{q} f_{i+2}^{2 q}\right)\left(\bmod f_{l}(x)\right), \quad i \geq 3,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $s(x) \in K[x]$ satisfies $s(x) x^{q} \equiv 1\left(\bmod f_{l}(x)\right)$. Note that indeed

$$
\operatorname{gcd}\left(x^{q}, f_{l}(x)\right)=1
$$

when $l$ is odd, since the only points with $x$-coordinates equal to 0 have order 2.
(iv) We chose l's up to 31 in order to keep manageable the size of the space searched in the baby-step giant-step part of the method. If more memory is available, then the cases $l=29$ and $l=31$ may be excluded, at the expense of an increase in the time for the baby-step giant-step part.

Using the method of $\S 4.3$, we also computed $t$ modulo 64. If ( $t$ modulo $64) \leq 31$, then we compute $t$ modulo 128 (for this we only need the division polynomials $f_{i}(x), 1 \leq i \leq 31$, modulo the degree- 32 factor of $\left.f_{128}(x)\right)$. Similarly, if ( $t$ modulo 128) $\leq 31$, we compute $t$ modulo 256. In this way we may compute $t$ modulo 1024 .
(v) In the baby-step giant-step algorithm we need to select points uniformly at random from $E\left(F_{q}\right)$. This is accomplished as follows. First pick a random element $\bar{x} \in F_{q}$. The probablity that $\bar{x}$ is the $x$-coordinate of some $P \in E\left(F_{q}\right)$ is roughly $\frac{1}{2}$; this follows from Hasse's theorem. We then attempt to solve the equation

$$
y^{2}+\bar{x} y=\bar{x}^{3}+a_{6}
$$

for $y$. There is a solution if and only if there is a solution to $y^{2}+y=b$, where $b=\bar{x}^{-2}\left(\bar{x}^{3}+a_{6}\right)$. Compute $b$, and let

$$
b=\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} b_{i} \beta^{2^{i}} \quad \text { and } \quad \bar{y}=\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} y_{i} \beta^{2^{i}}
$$

Then

$$
\bar{y}^{2}+\bar{y}=\sum_{i=0}^{m-1}\left(y_{i-1}+y_{i}\right) \beta^{2^{i}}=\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} b_{i} \beta^{2^{i}}
$$

Select $y_{0}=0$ or $y_{0}=1$ at random. Since $y_{0}+y_{1}=b_{1}$, this determines $y_{1}$. Similarly, $y_{2}, y_{3}, \ldots, y_{m-1}$ are determined. Finally, if $y_{m-1}+y_{0}=b_{0}$, then ( $\bar{x}, \bar{x} \bar{y}$ ) is a random point in $E\left(F_{q}\right)$. Otherwise, $\bar{x}$ is not the $x$-coordinate of a point in $E\left(F_{q}\right)$.

In Table 1, we list the time taken for the major steps in (4.1), (4.2), and (4.3) of our algorithm for counting points on a single randomly chosen curve over

Table 1. Times (in seconds) for the major steps in (4.1), (4.2), and (4.3) of the algorithm for counting points on a single randomly chosen curve over $F_{q}, q=2^{155}$

| Time to compute $f_{i}(x), 0 \leq i \leq 31$ |  |  |  |  |  | 245.3 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time to compute $t$ modulo 128 |  |  |  |  |  | 162.7 |  |  |  |  |
| $l$ | 3 | 5 | 7 | 11 | 13 | 17 | 19 | 23 | 29 | 31 |
| (4.1) (a) | 1.7 | 9.4 | 35.6 | 278.1 | 469.8 | 1231.3 | 2149.8 | 4612.9 | 11939.1 | 14170.2 |
| (b) | 0.1 | 0.7 | 1.1 | 31.5 | 69.8 | 89.9 | 458.3 | 1243.2 | 778.2 | 5252.0 |
| (c) | - | - | 13.1 | - | - | 88.3 | - | - | 72.3 | - |
| (4.2) (d) | 1.7 | 9.7 | - | 247.7 | 488.9 | - | 2268.1 | 4890.6 | - | 15188.2 |
| (e) | 11.5 | - | - | 552.6 | 1026.8 | - | 4539.4 | 9525.4 | - | 28869.2 |
| (f) | 3.4 | - | - | 495.4 | 977.7 | - | 4536.3 | 9805.2 | - | 30141.0 |
| (g) | 0.1 | - | - | 87.2 | 299.7 | - | 2036.9 | 6072.8 | - | 22463.9 |
| (h) | 0.7 | - | - | 173.2 | 177.3 | - | 2018.3 | 786.3 | - | 6298.5 |
| (i) | 0.9 | - | - | 213.0 | 348.8 | - | 1831.9 | 3444.4 | - | 9971.7 |

Legend
(a) Compute $x^{q}\left(\bmod f_{l}(x)\right)$.
(b) Search for an eigenvalue.
(c) Determine the sign of the eigenvalue.
(d) Compute $x^{q^{2}}\left(\bmod f_{l}(x)\right)$.
(e) Compute $y^{q}\left(\bmod f_{l}(x)\right)$.
(f) Compute $y^{q^{2}}\left(\bmod f_{l}(x)\right)$.
(g) Compute $f_{i}^{q}\left(\bmod f_{l}(x)\right), 0 \leq i \leq(l-1) / 2+1$.
(h) Search for $\tau, \quad 1 \leq \tau \leq(l-1) / 2$.
(i) Determine the sign of $\tau$.
$F_{2155}$. As was expected, the computation of $x^{q}\left(\bmod f_{l}\right)$ dominated the time to search for an eigenvalue, while the computation of $x^{q^{2}}, y^{q}$, and $y^{q^{2}}$ modulo $f_{l}$ is the dominant step in the Schoof part of the algorithm. If an eigenvalue exists, then determining its sign takes negligible time. Observe that searching for an eigenvalue is a useful heuristic, and results in a big time savings should one exist. Lastly, note that the time taken to compute the division polynomials, and to compute $t$ modulo 128, is also negligible.

In Table 2, we list the time for the baby-step giant-step method (step (4.4)) for various problem instances. The size of the space searched is $4 \sqrt{q} / L$, where $L$ is the product of those $l$ 's for which $t$ modulo $l$ is known.

Table 2. Times for the baby-step giant-step part (step (4.4)) for a curve over $F_{2^{m}}$

|  | l's used in <br> steps $4.1,4.2$, and 4.3 | Size of space <br> searched | Time |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 33 | $3,5,64$ | $3.9 \cdot 10^{2}$ | 0.2 sec |
| 52 | $3,5,7,11,128$ | $1.8 \cdot 10^{3}$ | 0.5 sec |
| 65 | $3,5,7,11,13,64$ | $2.5 \cdot 10^{4}$ | 1 sec |
| 82 | $3,5,7,11,13,17,64$ | $5.4 \cdot 10^{5}$ | 4 sec |
| 100 | $3,5,7,11,13,17,64$ | $2.8 \cdot 10^{8}$ | 1 min 43 sec |
| 113 | $3,5,7,11,13,17,64$ | $2.5 \cdot 10^{10}$ | 18 min 31 sec |
| 135 | $3,5,7,11,13,17,19,23,64$ | $1.2 \cdot 10^{11}$ | 51 min 22 sec |
| 148 | $3,5,7,11,13,17,19,23,29,64$ | $3.6 \cdot 10^{11}$ | 100 min 42 sec |
| 155 | $3,5,7,11,13,17,19,23,29,31,128$ | $6.7 \cdot 10^{10}$ | 44 min 11 sec |

Table 3. Total time for counting points on randomly chosen curves over $F_{2^{m}}$

|  | $l ' s ~ f o r ~ w h i c h ~ a n ~ e i g e n v a l u e ~$ <br> of $\phi$ was found in $F_{l}$ | Total running time (steps <br> $(4.1),(4.2),(4.3)$ and $(4.4))$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 33 | 3 | 1 min 6 sec |
| 52 | $3,5,7$ | 4 min 51 sec |
| 65 | 5 | 22 min 29 sec |
| 82 | $3,7,11,13$ | 57 min 46 sec |
| 100 | $5,7,11,17$ | 46 min 21 sec |
| 113 | $3,7,17$ | 1 hr 8 min 7 sec |
| 135 | $3,7,13,19,23$ | 5 hr 43 min 47 sec |
| 148 | $5,7,11,13,17,19,29$ | 16 hr 7 min 26 sec |
| 155 | $7,17,29$ | 60 hr 29 min 33 sec |

Finally, Table 3 presents the total running time of our method for evaluating $\# E\left(F_{2^{m}}\right)$ for single randomly chosen curves and several values of $m$. For a fixed $m$, the running time for counting $\# E\left(F_{2^{m}}\right)$ has a large variance; the longest running times happen when no eigenvalue of $\phi$ exists in $F_{l}$ for the largest prime $l$ 's used.

## 6. A SURVEY OF RECENT WORK

Let $K=F_{q}$. As observed in $\S 4$, there is a degree $(l-1) / 2$ factor $f(x)$ of $f_{l}(x)$ in $K[x]$ for those primes $l$ for which $\phi$ has distinct eigenvalues in $F_{l}$. If this factor exists and is known, then it may be used instead of $f_{l}(x)$ in Schoof's algorithm for a considerable savings in time. In unpublished work, Elkies and Miller independently showed how to construct the factor $f(x)$ without having to first construct $f_{l}(x)$. In [4], Elkies' work is modified, whereby $f(x)$ can be easily computed after some one-time work. These modifications reduce the work for determining \#E(K) from $O\left(\log ^{8} q\right)$ to $O\left(\log ^{6} q\right)$ bit operations. The running of $O\left(\log ^{6} q\right)$ is not rigorously proved since, for example, it is assumed that $t^{2}-4 q$ is a quadratic residue modulo $l$ for roughly half of all odd primes $l$. The method is described only for the case $q$ an odd prime, and the generalization to the case $q=2^{m}$ does not appear to be straightforward. We are unaware of any implementations of this method.

Recently Atkin [2] described a new algorithm for computing \#E(K) which uses modular equations. For each odd prime $l$, the algorithm performs operations in $K[x]$ modulo a polynomial of degree $l+1$ instead of the polynomial $f_{l}(x)$ of degree $\left(l^{2}-1\right) / 2$. Each iteration determines that $t(\bmod l) \in S_{l}$, where $S_{l}$ is a subset of $\{0,1,2, \ldots, l\}$, and where $\left|S_{l}\right|<l / 2$ but usually $\left|S_{l}\right| \ll l / 2$. This partial information for various $l$ 's is then combined to reveal $t$. Again, the algorithm has been described only for the case $q$ an odd prime. The algorithm has not been rigorously analyzed but performs remarkably well in practice. It is almost certain to work when $q \approx 10^{50}$, and Atkin has recently computed $\# E(K)$, where $q$ is an odd prime and $q \approx 10^{68}$.

## 7. Concluding remarks

We have implemented Schoof's algorithm along with some heuristics, and we are able to compute $\# E\left(F_{2^{m}}\right)$, where $E$ is any elliptic curve over $F_{2^{m}}$ and $m \leq 155$. For the Schoof part, we were able to compute $t$ modulo
$l$ for $l=3,5,7,11,13,17,19,23,29,31$, and 64 (and sometimes $l=$ 128, 256, 512, 1024).

Computing $\# E\left(F_{2} 155\right)$ takes roughly 61 hours on a SUN-2 SPARC station. (The algorithm takes 61 hours or less provided that $\phi$ has an eigenvalue in either $F_{29}$ or $F_{31}$. Heuristically, one would expect this to occur about $75 \%$ of the time for random curves.) On the SPARC station, we can multiply field elements in $F_{2}$ iss at the rate of 900 multiplications per second. There exists a special purpose chip which does the field arithmetic in $F_{2} 155$ and can perform 250,000 multiplications per second [1]. Since roughly $90 \%$ of all time of the algorithm is spent in multiplying field elements in $F_{2^{m}}$, the use of this chip should reduce the time for computing $\# E\left(F_{2155}\right)$ to about 6 hours.

Possible improvements which we did not implement are the computation of $t$ modulo 27, and using Pollard's Lambda method for catching kangaroos [12] instead of the baby-step giant-step algorithm. Pollard's method has the same expected running time as the latter method, but requires very little storage.

Finally, as pointed out by Atkin [2], we mention that the information obtained from Schoof's algorithm and the heuristics presented here can be combined with the information from Atkin's method to compute $\# E\left(F_{2^{m}}\right)$ for even larger values of $m$.
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